# A New Lattice Attack on DSA Schemes

#### Dimitrios Poulakis (Thessaloniki)

June 7, 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# In 1991, the U.S. government's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) proposed DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# In 1991, the U.S. government's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) proposed DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm).

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses:

In 1991, the U.S. government's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) proposed DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm).

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses:

primes p and q such that q|p − 1, size(q) = 160, 224, 256
bits, size(p) = 1024, 2048, 3072 bits.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

In 1991, the U.S. government's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) proposed DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm).

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses:

primes p and q such that q|p − 1, size(q) = 160, 224, 256
bits, size(p) = 1024, 2048, 3072 bits.

•  $g \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$  with  $\operatorname{ord}_p(g) = q$ .

In 1991, the U.S. government's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) proposed DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm).

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses:

primes p and q such that q|p − 1, size(q) = 160, 224, 256
bits, size(p) = 1024, 2048, 3072 bits.

- $g \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$  with  $\operatorname{ord}_p(g) = q$ .
- $a \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = g^a \mod p$ .

In 1991, the U.S. government's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) proposed DSA (Digital Signature Algorithm).

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses:

primes p and q such that q|p − 1, size(q) = 160, 224, 256
bits, size(p) = 1024, 2048, 3072 bits.

- $g \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$  with  $\operatorname{ord}_p(g) = q$ .
- $a \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = g^a \mod p$ .
- an one-way, collision-free hash function  $h: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,\ldots,q-1\}.$



#### Parameters : (p, q, g, h)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Public key: A.

Private key: a.



# **Signature.** To sign a message $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ the signer works as follows:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• He selects at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- He selects at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $r = (g^k \mod p) \mod q$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

- He selects at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $r = (g^k \mod p) \mod q$ .
- He computes  $s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

- He selects at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $r = (g^k \mod p) \mod q$ .
- He computes  $s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q$ .

The signature of m is (r, s).



**Verification.** The verification of the signed message (m, r, s) is performed by checking

 $r = ((g^{s^{-1}h(m) \mod q} \mathcal{A}^{s^{-1}r \mod q}) \mod p) \mod q.$ 

In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses



In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses

• an elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,

In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses

- an elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,
- a prime q with  $2^{159} < q < 2^{160}$  and  $q \mid |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ ,

In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses

- an elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,
- a prime q with  $2^{159} < q < 2^{160}$  and  $q \mid |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ ,

• 
$$P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$$
 with  $\operatorname{ord}(P) = q$ ,

In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses

- an elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,
- a prime q with  $2^{159} < q < 2^{160}$  and  $q \mid |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ ,

• 
$$P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$$
 with  $\operatorname{ord}(P) = q$ 

•  $a \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$  and computes Q = aP,

In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standardized

For the construction of a such scheme the signer chooses

- an elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,
- a prime q with  $2^{159} < q < 2^{160}$  and  $q \mid |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ ,

• 
$$P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$$
 with  $\operatorname{ord}(P) = q$ 

- $a \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$  and computes Q = aP,
- an one-way and collision-free hash function  $h: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,\ldots,q-1\}.$

Parameters: (p, E, P, q, h)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Public key: Q.

Private key: a.

# **Signature.** To sign a message $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ the signer works as follows:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

• He chooses at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- He chooses at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $kP = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$   $(x, y \in \{0, \dots, p-1\})$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

- He chooses at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $kP = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$   $(x, y \in \{0, \dots, p-1\})$ .
- He computes  $r = x \mod q$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

- He chooses at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $kP = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$   $(x, y \in \{0, \dots, p-1\})$ .
- He computes  $r = x \mod q$ .
- He computes  $s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q$ .

**Signature.** To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  the signer works as follows:

- He chooses at random  $k \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ .
- He computes  $kP = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$   $(x, y \in \{0, \dots, p-1\})$ .
- He computes  $r = x \mod q$ .
- He computes  $s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q$ .

The signature of m is (r, s).

# **Verification.** The verification of the signed message (m, r, s) is performed by computing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

**Verification.** The verification of the signed message (m, r, s) is performed by computing:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• 
$$u_1 = s^{-1}h(m) \mod q$$
,

**Verification.** The verification of the signed message (m, r, s) is performed by computing:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $u_1 = s^{-1}h(m) \mod q$ ,
- $u_2 = s^{-1}r \mod q$ ,

**Verification.** The verification of the signed message (m, r, s) is performed by computing:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $u_1 = s^{-1}h(m) \mod q$ ,
- $u_2 = s^{-1}r \mod q$ ,

• 
$$u_1P + u_2Q = (\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0).$$

**Verification.** The verification of the signed message (m, r, s) is performed by computing:

- $u_1 = s^{-1}h(m) \mod q$ ,
- $u_2 = s^{-1}r \mod q$ ,

• 
$$u_1P + u_2Q = (\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0).$$

The signature is accepted if if  $r = x_0 \mod q$ .

# Security

The security of DSA is relied on the difficulty of computation of the discrete logarithms a and k from the relations

$$\mathcal{A} = g^a \bmod p$$

and

$$r = (g^k \mod p) \mod q.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Security

The security of ECDSA is relied on the difficulty of computation of the discrete logarithms a and k from the relations

$$Q = aP$$

and

$$kP = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



#### **Important Remark**

In both cases a and k is a solution of the congruence

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?
1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

**3** 2002 P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.

- **3** 2002 P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- **9** 2002. I. F. Blake and T. Garefalakis.

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.

- 3 2002 P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- **9** 2002. I. F. Blake and T. Garefalakis.
- **3** 2003. P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.

- 3 2002 P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- 2002. I. F. Blake and T. Garefalakis.
- **1** 2003. P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- **o** 2011. D. Poulakis.

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.
- 3 2002 P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- 2002. I. F. Blake and T. Garefalakis.
- **3** 2003. P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- **o** 2011. D. Poulakis.
- 2013. J.-L. Faugère, C. Goyet, and G. Renault.

- 1997. M. Bellare, S. Goldwasser and Micciancio.
- 2001. N. A. Howgrave-Graham and N. P. Smart.
- 3 2002 P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- 2002. I. F. Blake and T. Garefalakis.
- **3** 2003. P. Nguyen and I. E. Shparlinski.
- **o** 2011. D. Poulakis.
- 2013. J.-L. Faugère, C. Goyet, and G. Renault.

2013. K. Draziotis and D. Poulakis.

### Lattices

### Let $B = {\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Lattices

Let  $B = {\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

A *n*-dimensional lattice spanned by B is the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{z_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + z_n\mathbf{b}_n / z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Lattices

Let  $B = {\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

A *n*-dimensional lattice spanned by B is the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{z_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + z_n\mathbf{b}_n / z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The Euclidean norm of a vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  is the quantity

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = (v_1^2 + \cdots + v_n^2)^{1/2}.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Closest Vector Problem (CVP)

#### Problem

Let  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a lattice and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{L}$ . Find a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}$  that minimizes the quantity  $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

CVP is NP-hard problem.

### 2010. D. Micciancio and P. Voulgaris

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a n-dimensional lattice and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a deterministic algorithm that computes  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that for every  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{L}$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{y}\| \le \|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

in time  $2^{2n+o(n)}$ .

# A System of Linear Congruences

Our attacks are based on the following result:

#### Theorem

Let q be an integer > 0. Consider integers n with  $0 < n < \log_2 q,$   $A_i$  with

$$2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < A_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}$$

and  $B_i \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ . Then the system of congruences

$$y_i + A_i x + B_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ 

has at most one solution  $\mathbf{v} = (x, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}^{n+1}$  having

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| < \frac{q^{n/(n+1)}}{16}.$$

The time complexity of computation of x is  $O(2^{2n+o(n)})$ .

For the proof of this result we use the theorem of Micciancio and P. Voulgaris, and the following lemma:

#### Lemma

Let q be an integer > 0. Consider integers n and  $A_i$  such that  $0 < n < \log_2 q$ , and  $2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < A_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the lattice spanned by the rows of the square matrix

$$J = \left(egin{array}{cccccccc} -1 & A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_n \ 0 & q & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & q & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ dots & dots & dots & dots & dots \ dots \ dots & dots \ dot$$

Then for every nonzero  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|>\frac{q^{n/(n+1)}}{8}.$$

 $n \leq 2\lfloor \log_2 \log_2 q \rfloor.$ 



 $m_j$  messages and  $(r_j, s_j)$  theirs signatures with DSA (resp. ECDSA)  $(j = 1, ..., t \le n)$ .

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

 $m_j$  messages and  $(r_j, s_j)$  theirs signatures with DSA (resp. ECDSA)  $(j = 1, ..., t \le n)$ .

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

$$r_j = (g^{k_j} \mod p) \mod q,$$
  
(resp.  $k_j P = (x_j, y_j)$  and  $r_j = x_j \mod q).$ 

 $m_j$  messages and  $(r_j, s_j)$  theirs signatures with DSA (resp. ECDSA)  $(j = 1, ..., t \le n)$ .

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

$$r_j = (g^{k_j} \mod p) \mod q,$$
  
(resp.  $k_j P = (x_j, y_j)$  and  $r_j = x_j \mod q).$ 

$$s_j = k_j^{-1}(h(m_j) + ar_j) \mod q.$$

 $m_j$  messages and  $(r_j, s_j)$  theirs signatures with DSA (resp. ECDSA)  $(j = 1, ..., t \le n)$ .

$$r_j = (g^{k_j} \mod p) \mod q,$$
  
(resp.  $k_j P = (x_j, y_j)$  and  $r_j = x_j \mod q).$ 

$$s_j = k_j^{-1}(h(m_j) + ar_j) \mod q.$$

It follows that

$$k_j + C_j a + D_j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(j = 1, \dots, t)$ 

where  $C_j = -r_j s_j^{-1} \mod q$  and  $D_j = -s_j^{-1} h(m_j) \mod q$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□

Input:  $(m_j, r_j, s_j)$  (j = 1, ..., t).



Input:  $(m_j, r_j, s_j)$  (j = 1, ..., t). Ompute  $C_j = -r_j s_j^{-1} \mod q$  and  $D_j = -s_j^{-1} h(m_j) \mod q$ .

Input:  $(m_j, r_j, s_j)$  (j = 1, ..., t).

Compute C<sub>j</sub> = -r<sub>j</sub>s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup> mod q and D<sub>j</sub> = -s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup>h(m<sub>j</sub>) mod q.
 Select integers A<sub>i</sub> (i = 1,..., n) with

$$2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < A_i < 2^iq^{i/(n+1)}$$

and denote by  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$  the lattice spanned by

$$(-1, A_1, \dots, A_n), (0, q, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, q).$$
  
(If  $2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < C_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}$ , we can take  $A_i = C_i$ ).

Input:  $(m_j, r_j, s_j)$  (j = 1, ..., t).

Compute C<sub>j</sub> = -r<sub>j</sub>s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup> mod q and D<sub>j</sub> = -s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup>h(m<sub>j</sub>) mod q.
 Select integers A<sub>i</sub> (i = 1,..., n) with

$$2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < A_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}$$

and denote by  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$  the lattice spanned by

$$(-1, A_1, \dots, A_n), (0, q, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, q).$$
  
(If  $2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < C_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}$ , we can take  $A_i = C_i$ ).  
Compute  $B_{ij} = A_i D_j C_j^{-1} \mod q$   $(i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, t)$ .  
Denote by  $M$  the set of maps  $\mu : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, t\}$ . For every  $\mu \in M$  we set  $\mathbf{b}_{\mu} = (0, B_{1\mu(1)}, \dots, B_{n\mu(n)})$ .

Input:  $(m_j, r_j, s_j)$  (j = 1, ..., t).

Compute C<sub>j</sub> = -r<sub>j</sub>s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup> mod q and D<sub>j</sub> = -s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup>h(m<sub>j</sub>) mod q.
 Select integers A<sub>i</sub> (i = 1,..., n) with

$$2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < A_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}$$

and denote by  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$  the lattice spanned by

$$(-1, A_1, \ldots, A_n), (0, q, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, q).$$

 $(\text{If } 2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < C_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}, \text{ we can take } A_i = C_i).$ 

- Sompute  $B_{ij} = A_i D_j C_j^{-1} \mod q$  (i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., t). Denote by M the set of maps  $\mu : \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., t\}$ . For every  $\mu \in M$  we set  $\mathbf{b}_{\mu} = (0, B_{1\mu(1)}, ..., B_{n\mu(n)})$ .
- Osing the algorithm of Theorem 1, ∀µ ∈ M compute vµ ∈ L
  s. t. ∀t ∈ L we have ||vµ − bµ|| ≤ ||t − bµ||.

Input:  $(m_j, r_j, s_j)$  (j = 1, ..., t).

Compute C<sub>j</sub> = -r<sub>j</sub>s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup> mod q and D<sub>j</sub> = -s<sub>j</sub><sup>-1</sup>h(m<sub>j</sub>) mod q.
 Select integers A<sub>i</sub> (i = 1,..., n) with

$$2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < A_i < 2^iq^{i/(n+1)}$$

and denote by  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$  the lattice spanned by

$$(-1, A_1, \ldots, A_n), (0, q, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, q).$$

 $(\text{If } 2^{i-1}q^{i/(n+1)} < C_i < 2^i q^{i/(n+1)}, \text{ we can take } A_i = C_i).$ 

- Sompute  $B_{ij} = A_i D_j C_j^{-1} \mod q$  (i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., t). Denote by M the set of maps  $\mu : \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., t\}$ . For every  $\mu \in M$  we set  $\mathbf{b}_{\mu} = (0, B_{1\mu(1)}, ..., B_{n\mu(n)})$ .
- Osing the algorithm of Theorem 1, ∀µ ∈ M compute vµ ∈ L
  s. t. ∀t ∈ L we have ||vµ − bµ|| ≤ ||t − bµ||.
- For every  $\mu \in M$  check if the first coordinate of  $\mathbf{v}_{\mu}$  is a.

#### Proposition

Put  $k_{ij} = k_j \lfloor q^{i/(n+1)} \rfloor C_j^{-1} \mod q$  (i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, ..., t). Then the algorithm DSA-ATTACK-1 computes a provided that

$$\|(a, k_{1\mu(1)}, \ldots, k_{n\mu(n)})\| < q^{n/(n+1)}/4,$$

where  $\mu \in M$ . The time complexity of the algorithm is  $O((\log_2 q)^{4+2\log_2 t})$ .

We also have the congruences

$$k_j a^{-1} + C_j + D_j a^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad (j = 1, \dots, t).$$

Replacing  $(C_j, D_j)$  by  $(D_j, C_j)$  and *a* by  $a^{-1}$ , we obtain a variant of DSA-ATTACK-1 called DSA-ATTACK-2.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We also have the congruences

$$k_j a^{-1} + C_j + D_j a^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad (j = 1, \dots, t).$$

Replacing  $(C_j, D_j)$  by  $(D_j, C_j)$  and *a* by  $a^{-1}$ , we obtain a variant of DSA-ATTACK-1 called DSA-ATTACK-2.

Suppose  $t \ge 2$ . We eliminate *a* among the congruences

$$k_j + C_j a + D_j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(j = 1, ..., t)$ .  
Setting  $\tilde{C}_j = -C_j C_t^{-1} \mod q$ ,  $\tilde{D}_j = -C_j C_t^{-1} D_j \mod q$ , we get  
 $k_j + \tilde{C}_j k_t + \tilde{D}_j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$   $(j = 1, ..., t - 1)$ .

Thus we have another attack called DSA-ATTACK-3.

We also have the congruences

$$k_j a^{-1} + C_j + D_j a^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad (j = 1, \dots, t).$$

Replacing  $(C_j, D_j)$  by  $(D_j, C_j)$  and *a* by  $a^{-1}$ , we obtain a variant of DSA-ATTACK-1 called DSA-ATTACK-2.

Suppose  $t \ge 2$ . We eliminate *a* among the congruences

$$k_j + C_j a + D_j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(j = 1, ..., t)$ .  
Setting  $\tilde{C}_j = -C_j C_t^{-1} \mod q$ ,  $\tilde{D}_j = -C_j C_t^{-1} D_j \mod q$ , we get  
 $k_j + \tilde{C}_j k_t + \tilde{D}_j \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$   $(j = 1, ..., t - 1)$ .

Thus we have another attack called DSA-ATTACK-3.

Finally, we have the congruences

$$k_j k_t^{-1} + \tilde{C}_j + \tilde{D}_j k_t^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad (j = 1, \dots, t-1)$$

which give another attack called DSA-ATTACK-4, and the second sec

## An Example

Let *E* be the elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p = 2^{160} + 7$  is a prime, by the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + 10x + C,$$

where

C = 1343632762150092499701637438970764818528075565078.

## An Example

Let *E* be the elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p = 2^{160} + 7$  is a prime, by the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + 10x + C,$$

where

C = 1343632762150092499701637438970764818528075565078.

The number of points of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the 160-bit prime

q = 1461501637330902918203683518218126812711137002561.

Consider the point P = (x(P), y(P)) of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where

x(P) = 858713481053070278779168032920613680360047535271,

y(P) = 364938321350392265038182051503279726748224184066.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Consider the point P = (x(P), y(P)) of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where

x(P) = 858713481053070278779168032920613680360047535271,

y(P) = 364938321350392265038182051503279726748224184066.

We take as private key the 160-bit integer

*a* = 874984668032211733311386841306673749333236586178.

Consider the point P = (x(P), y(P)) of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where

x(P) = 858713481053070278779168032920613680360047535271,

y(P) = 364938321350392265038182051503279726748224184066.

We take as private key the 160-bit integer

*a* = 874984668032211733311386841306673749333236586178.

The public key is Q = aP = (x(Q), y(Q)) where

x(Q) = 597162246892872056034315330452950636324741691536,y(Q) = 1181877329208353060566969266758924757549684357390. Let  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  be three messages with hash values

$$h(m_1) = 1238458437157734227527825004718505271235024916418,$$

 $h(m_2) = 1028653949698644928576637572550961266718086213222,$ 

 $h(m_3) = 1359253753908721564345086919389145449479510713328.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ
Let  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  be three messages with hash values

 $h(m_1) = 1238458437157734227527825004718505271235024916418,$ 

 $h(m_2) = 1028653949698644928576637572550961266718086213222,$ 

 $h(m_3) = 1359253753908721564345086919389145449479510713328.$ 

The following ephemeral keys have been used respectively for the generation of the signatures of the three messages:

- $k_1 = 466080543322889688835467115835518398826523750031,$
- $k_2 = 730750818665451459101842416358141509827966271589,$
- $k_3 \ = \ 730750818665451459101842416358141509827966279681.$

The size of  $k_1$  is 158 bits and the size of  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  is 159 bits.

# We have the points $R_i = k_i P = (x(R_i), y(R_i))$ (i = 1, 2, 3), where

- $x(R_1) = 1254157729089443995418123832523808277031313949462,$
- $y(R_1) = 23109942117176529567525517253616649087109941040,$
- $x(R_2) = 725144377910246885534616706756699404195507663231,$
- $y(R_2) = 724834174614588160856240480005855379930897712013,$
- $x(R_3) = 250593598147858114836913138265564915457464710851,$
- $y(R_3) = 63119281333557571230379851501639067328261656282.$

We have the points 
$$R_i = k_i P = (x(R_i), y(R_i))$$
  $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ , where

$$x(R_1) = 1254157729089443995418123832523808277031313949462,$$

$$y(R_1) = 23109942117176529567525517253616649087109941040,$$

- $x(R_2) = 725144377910246885534616706756699404195507663231,$
- $y(R_2) = 724834174614588160856240480005855379930897712013,$
- $x(R_3) = 250593598147858114836913138265564915457464710851,$

 $y(R_3) = 63119281333557571230379851501639067328261656282.$ 

The signarure of  $m_i$  is  $(r_i, s_i)$  where  $s_i = k_i^{-1}(h(m_i) + ar_i) \mod q$ and  $r_i = x(R_i)$  (i = 1, 2, 3). We have

- $s_1 = 1363805341335356352807650823690154552653914451119,$
- $s_2 = 1286644068312084224467989193436769265471767284571,$
- $s_3 = 1357235540051781293143720232752751840677247754090.$

First, we remark that

 $a^{-1} \mod q = 5070602400912917605986812821509 < 2^{103}.$ 

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Thus, we shall apply DSA-ATTACK-2 with n = 3.

#### First, we remark that

 $a^{-1} \mod q = 5070602400912917605986812821509 < 2^{103}.$ 

Thus, we shall apply DSA-ATTACK-2 with n = 3.

The couple  $(a^{-1} \mod q, k_j a^{-1} \mod q)$  is a solution of the congruence

$$y + D_i x + C_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(i = 1, 2, 3),$ 

where

### First, we remark that

 $a^{-1} \mod q = 5070602400912917605986812821509 < 2^{103}.$ 

Thus, we shall apply DSA-ATTACK-2 with n = 3.

The couple  $(a^{-1} \mod q, k_j a^{-1} \mod q)$  is a solution of the congruence

$$y + D_i x + C_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(i = 1, 2, 3),$ 

where

- $C_1 = 1461501463106331049611349884018124821212302099515$ ,
- $D_1 = 34359738369,$
- $C_2 = 856585227192969567381714973407499157966149117422,$
- $D_2 = 1389773565760524781352174297091678638955836274432,$
- $C_3 \hspace{.1in} = \hspace{.1in} 25289181258142448854230843836548288088082171610,$
- $D_3 = 494393186466616365369065630169592100192862982492..$

#### We have

$$\lfloor q^{1/4} 
floor = 1099511627775,$$

- $\lfloor q^{1/2} \rfloor = 1208925819614629174706175,$
- $\lfloor q^{3/4} \rfloor = 1329227995784915872903806163633513155.$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

#### We have

$$\lfloor q^{1/4} 
floor = 1099511627775,$$
  
 $\lfloor q^{1/2} 
floor = 1208925819614629174706175,$   
 $\lfloor q^{3/4} 
floor = 1329227995784915872903806163633513155.$ 

We take  $A_1 = D_1$ ,  $A_2 = 2^{81} + 1$ ,  $A_3 = 2^{122} + 23$ .

## We have

$$\lfloor q^{1/4} \rfloor = 1099511627775,$$
  
 $\lfloor q^{1/2} \rfloor = 1208925819614629174706175,$   
 $\lfloor q^{3/4} \rfloor = 1329227995784915872903806163633513155.$ 

We take 
$$A_1 = D_1$$
,  $A_2 = 2^{81} + 1$ ,  $A_3 = 2^{122} + 23$ .

We have

$$2^{i-1}q^{i/4} < A_i < 2^iq^{i/4}$$
 (i = 1, 2, 3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Since we have

$$l_1 = a^{-1}k_1 \mod q < 2^{91},$$
  
 $l_2 = k_2 a^{-1}A_2 D_2^{-1} \mod q < 2^{90},$   
 $l_3 = k_3 a^{-1}A_3 D_3^{-1} \mod q < 2^{50},$ 

we obtain

$$\|(a^{-1} \mod q, l_1, l_2, l_3)\| < q^{3/4}/4.$$

Hence, the DSA-ATTACK-2 can provide us  $a^{-1} \mod q$  and so, the secret key a.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A New Lattice Attack on DSA Schemes

# THANK YOU

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?